2012 LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVES

Capitol Buildings Planning Commission (CBPC) December 6, 2011

COMMISSION GENERAL LEGISLATION:

PROPOSALS #1 and #2 are identical except #2 contains appropriations.

PROPOSAL #1 (.187987.1) – Slightly modified version of SB193 (2011) pocket vetoed. Senate passed 35-0; House passed 67-0. Would require a message.

PROPOSAL #2 (.187688.2) – Contains appropriations in a new section 5 and renames subsequent sections.

Five-Year Facilities Master Plans.

- The proposal is intended to strengthen the infrastructure capital improvements planning process for <u>state</u> agencies. The legislation requires state agencies to develop and annually update a five-year facilities master plan in the form prescribed by the property control division (PCD) and consistent with the CBPC master plans and planning principles. The plans are to be submitted to the department of finance and administration (DFA) on July 1 of each year.
- The DFA and PCD are required to jointly prepare and annually update a five-year program for all state capital improvement projects, which is submitted to the governor and the legislature by November 1 of each year.
- The proposal does not restructure any government agencies or divisions. It will provide incremental improvement to the facilities planning process.
- Projects are required to be prioritized and further classified as:
 - 1. those requesting funding for the initial planning and design phase; or
 - 2. for the full project costs after the planning and design phase has been completed and approved by the appropriate planning entity.
- PCD provides technical assistance to the agencies in the development of the plans and develops the guidelines for the plans.
- The guidelines shall include: (minor stylistic changes #2 to delete excess verbiage)
 - 1. requirements for preventive and deferred maintenance plans;
 - 2. a ranking system to determine priority capital projects for state facilities;
 - 3. space and energy efficiency standards for state facilities; and
 - 4. life-cycle costing models for existing and proposed state facilities.

- <u>Not</u> included in the definition of "state agency" are: *(minor changes to correct definition of "state agency")*
 - 1. political subdivisions,
 - 2. institutions under the jurisdiction of the higher education department,
 - 3. certain state transportation commission and department of transportation non-administrative facilities.
 - 4. independent authorities specifically exempted from laws governing state agencies,
 - 5. the public schools and charter schools,
 - 6. the public schools facility authority, when the authority acquires property pursuant to the Public Schools Capital Outlay Act.

PROPOSAL #3 (.187670.1) – Extends expenditure period of appropriations for continued statewide master planning for the Capitol Buildings Planning Commission and renovations resulting from the future construction of the Executive Office Building.

NON-CAPITAL OUTLAY LEGISLATION FROM THE GENERAL SERVICES DEPARTMENT:

No bill drafts submitted.

CAPITAL OUTLAY WITHIN MASTER-PLANNED AREAS OF NEW MEXICO.

In prior years, the CBPC has endorsed certain capital outlay requests presented to the commission for its consideration as to consistency with:

- 1 the master plans under the purview of the commission and
- 2 the general development principles of master planning for New Mexico state government.

FROM THE COMMISSION:

No proposals submitted

FROM THE CULTURAL AFFAIRS DEPARTMENT:

- \$9,373,000 for repairs and maintenance of cultural assets spread throughout New Mexico; [\$1,945,000 are listed as life/safety priorities]
- \$4,175,000 to complete projects started;
- \$955,000 for renovations/remodels state wide;
- \$2,470,000 for cultural facilities equipment.

FROM THE GENERAL SERVICES DEPARTMENT:

See spreadsheet